

Actions of quantum groups on operator systems

Joeri De Ro

Joint work with Lucas Hataishi

GOAL AND CONTENT

GOAL AND CONTENT

Main goal: Introduce notions of actions of compact and discrete quantum groups on operator systems, and study equivariant injectivity of crossed products.

GOAL AND CONTENT

Main goal: Introduce notions of actions of compact and discrete quantum groups on operator systems, and study equivariant injectivity of crossed products.

Content presentation:

GOAL AND CONTENT

Main goal: Introduce notions of actions of compact and discrete quantum groups on operator systems, and study equivariant injectivity of crossed products.

Content presentation:

1. Operator systems and tensor products

GOAL AND CONTENT

Main goal: Introduce notions of actions of compact and discrete quantum groups on operator systems, and study equivariant injectivity of crossed products.

Content presentation:

1. Operator systems and tensor products
2. The classical picture

GOAL AND CONTENT

Main goal: Introduce notions of actions of compact and discrete quantum groups on operator systems, and study equivariant injectivity of crossed products.

Content presentation:

1. Operator systems and tensor products
2. The classical picture
3. Quantum groups

GOAL AND CONTENT

Main goal: Introduce notions of actions of compact and discrete quantum groups on operator systems, and study equivariant injectivity of crossed products.

Content presentation:

1. Operator systems and tensor products
2. The classical picture
3. Quantum groups
4. Actions of quantum groups

GOAL AND CONTENT

Main goal: Introduce notions of actions of compact and discrete quantum groups on operator systems, and study equivariant injectivity of crossed products.

Content presentation:

1. Operator systems and tensor products
2. The classical picture
3. Quantum groups
4. Actions of quantum groups
5. Injectivity of crossed products

OPERATOR SYSTEMS AND TENSOR PRODUCTS

OPERATOR SYSTEMS AND TENSOR PRODUCTS

Operator system

An **operator system** X is a norm-closed, self-adjoint subspace of a unital C^* -algebra A such that $1_A \in X$.

OPERATOR SYSTEMS AND TENSOR PRODUCTS

Operator system

An **operator system** X is a norm-closed, self-adjoint subspace of a unital C^* -algebra A such that $1_A \in X$.

Tensor products of operator systems

Let $X \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$, $Y \subseteq B(\mathcal{K})$ be operator systems.

OPERATOR SYSTEMS AND TENSOR PRODUCTS

Operator system

An **operator system** X is a norm-closed, self-adjoint subspace of a unital C^* -algebra A such that $1_A \in X$.

Tensor products of operator systems

Let $X \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$, $Y \subseteq B(\mathcal{K})$ be operator systems.

- ▶ We define

$$X \otimes Y = \overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|} \{x \otimes y : x \in X, y \in Y\} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K})$$

and call it the **minimal tensor product** of operator systems.

OPERATOR SYSTEMS AND TENSOR PRODUCTS

Operator system

An **operator system** X is a norm-closed, self-adjoint subspace of a unital C^* -algebra A such that $1_A \in X$.

Tensor products of operator systems

Let $X \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$, $Y \subseteq B(\mathcal{K})$ be operator systems.

- ▶ We define

$$X \otimes Y = \overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|} \{x \otimes y : x \in X, y \in Y\} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K})$$

and call it the **minimal tensor product** of operator systems.

- ▶ We define the **Fubini tensor product** $X \overline{\otimes} Y$ to be the set of all $z \in B(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that $(\omega \overline{\otimes} \text{id})(z) \in Y$ for all $\omega \in B(\mathcal{H})_*$ and $(\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \chi)(z) \in X$ for all $\chi \in B(\mathcal{K})_*$.

THE CLASSICAL PICTURE

THE CLASSICAL PICTURE

- ▶ Fix a discrete group Γ , a C^* -algebra A and a group action $\beta : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$.

THE CLASSICAL PICTURE

- Fix a discrete group Γ , a C^* -algebra A and a group action $\beta : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$.

Fubini crossed product (Hamana, 1980)

The set

$$A \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \Gamma := \{z \in A \overline{\otimes} B(\ell^2(\Gamma)) : \forall g, h, k \in \Gamma : \beta_{g^{-1}}(z_{h,k}) = z_{hg,kg}\}$$

is called the **Fubini crossed product**.

THE CLASSICAL PICTURE

Injectivity of Fubini crossed product (Hamana, 1981)

The following statements are equivalent:

- ▶ A is Γ -injective.
- ▶ $A \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \Gamma$ is injective.

Injectivity of Fubini crossed product (Hamana, 1981)

The following statements are equivalent:

- ▶ A is Γ -injective.
 - ▶ $A \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \Gamma$ is injective.
-
- ▶ Taking $A = \mathbb{C}$, we find that the following statements are equivalent:
 - ▶ Γ is amenable.
 - ▶ $L(\Gamma)$, the left group von Neumann algebra, is injective.

THE CLASSICAL PICTURE

Injectivity of Fubini crossed product (Hamana, 1981)

The following statements are equivalent:

- ▶ A is Γ -injective.
 - ▶ $A \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \Gamma$ is injective.
-
- ▶ Taking $A = \mathbb{C}$, we find that the following statements are equivalent:
 - ▶ Γ is amenable.
 - ▶ $L(\Gamma)$, the left group von Neumann algebra, is injective.
 - ▶ **Goal:** Find suitable generalisation when the discrete group Γ is replaced by a discrete quantum group.

COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

- ▶ Let \mathbb{G} be a CQG (in the sense of Woronowicz) with function algebra $(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}), \Delta_{\mathbb{G}})$ and Haar state $\varphi_{\mathbb{G}} : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with GNS-construction $(L^2(\mathbb{G}), \lambda, \xi_{\mathbb{G}})$.

COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

- ▶ Let \mathbb{G} be a CQG (in the sense of Woronowicz) with function algebra $(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}), \Delta_{\mathbb{G}})$ and Haar state $\varphi_{\mathbb{G}} : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with GNS-construction $(L^2(\mathbb{G}), \lambda, \xi_{\mathbb{G}})$.
- ▶ Let $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ be the dense Hopf \ast -subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G})$.

COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

- ▶ Let \mathbb{G} be a CQG (in the sense of Woronowicz) with function algebra $(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}), \Delta_{\mathbb{G}})$ and Haar state $\varphi_{\mathbb{G}} : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with GNS-construction $(L^2(\mathbb{G}), \lambda, \xi_{\mathbb{G}})$.
- ▶ Let $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ be the dense Hopf $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G})$.
- ▶ Consider the multiplicative unitaries $V_{\mathbb{G}}$ and $W_{\mathbb{G}}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\mathbb{G}}(\Lambda(a) \otimes \Lambda(b)) &= (\Lambda \odot \Lambda)(\Delta(a)(1 \otimes b)), \\ W_{\mathbb{G}}^*(\Lambda(a) \otimes \Lambda(b)) &= (\Lambda \odot \Lambda)(\Delta(b)(a \otimes 1)). \end{aligned}$$

COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

- ▶ Let \mathbb{G} be a CQG (in the sense of Woronowicz) with function algebra $(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}), \Delta_{\mathbb{G}})$ and Haar state $\varphi_{\mathbb{G}} : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with GNS-construction $(L^2(\mathbb{G}), \lambda, \xi_{\mathbb{G}})$.
- ▶ Let $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ be the dense Hopf $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G})$.
- ▶ Consider the multiplicative unitaries $V_{\mathbb{G}}$ and $W_{\mathbb{G}}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\mathbb{G}}(\Lambda(a) \otimes \Lambda(b)) &= (\Lambda \odot \Lambda)(\Delta(a)(1 \otimes b)), \\ W_{\mathbb{G}}^*(\Lambda(a) \otimes \Lambda(b)) &= (\Lambda \odot \Lambda)(\Delta(b)(a \otimes 1)). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ We define $\mathcal{C}_r(\mathbb{G}) = \lambda(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}))$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}(\mathbb{G}) := \mathcal{C}_r(\mathbb{G})''$ and we endow them with their usual coproduct

$$\Delta(x) = V_{\mathbb{G}}(x \otimes 1)V_{\mathbb{G}}^*, \quad x \in B(L^2(\mathbb{G})).$$

DISCRETE QUANTUM GROUPS

- ▶ Consider the multiplier Hopf $*$ -algebra $(\mathbf{c}_c(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \widetilde{\Delta})$ dual to $(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}), \Delta)$, i.e.

$$\mathbf{c}_c(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \{\varphi_{\mathbb{G}}(-\mathbf{a}) : \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})\}, \quad \widetilde{\Delta}(\omega)(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}) = \omega(\mathbf{ab}).$$

- ▶ Consider the multiplier Hopf $*$ -algebra $(\mathbf{c}_c(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \widetilde{\Delta})$ dual to $(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}), \Delta)$, i.e.

$$\mathbf{c}_c(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \{\varphi_{\mathbb{G}}(-\mathbf{a}) : \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})\}, \quad \widetilde{\Delta}(\omega)(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}) = \omega(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}).$$

- ▶ Define dual GNS-maps $\hat{\Gamma}, \hat{\Lambda} : \mathbf{c}_c(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$ by

$$\hat{\Gamma}(\varphi_{\mathbb{G}}(-\mathbf{a})) = \Lambda(\mathbf{a}), \quad \hat{\Lambda}(\omega) = \hat{\Gamma}(\omega \star \delta^{-1/2}).$$

- ▶ Consider the multiplier Hopf $*$ -algebra $(\mathbf{c}_c(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \widetilde{\Delta})$ dual to $(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}), \Delta)$, i.e.

$$\mathbf{c}_c(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \{\varphi_{\mathbb{G}}(-\mathbf{a}) : \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})\}, \quad \widetilde{\Delta}(\omega)(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}) = \omega(\mathbf{ab}).$$

- ▶ Define dual GNS-maps $\hat{\Gamma}, \hat{\Lambda} : \mathbf{c}_c(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$ by

$$\hat{\Gamma}(\varphi_{\mathbb{G}}(-\mathbf{a})) = \Lambda(\mathbf{a}), \quad \hat{\Lambda}(\omega) = \hat{\Gamma}(\omega \star \delta^{-1/2}).$$

- ▶ With respect to these GNS-maps, we can define the multiplicative unitaries $V_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}, W_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \in B(L^2(\mathbb{G}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{G}))$.

- ▶ Consider the multiplier Hopf \ast -algebra $(\mathbf{c}_c(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \widetilde{\Delta})$ dual to $(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}), \Delta)$, i.e.

$$\mathbf{c}_c(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \{\varphi_{\mathbb{G}}(-a) : a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})\}, \quad \widetilde{\Delta}(\omega)(a \otimes b) = \omega(ab).$$

- ▶ Define dual GNS-maps $\hat{\Gamma}, \hat{\Lambda} : \mathbf{c}_c(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$ by

$$\hat{\Gamma}(\varphi_{\mathbb{G}}(-a)) = \Lambda(a), \quad \hat{\Lambda}(\omega) = \hat{\Gamma}(\omega \star \delta^{-1/2}).$$

- ▶ With respect to these GNS-maps, we can define the multiplicative unitaries $V_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}, W_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \in B(L^2(\mathbb{G}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{G}))$.
- ▶ We also define $\hat{\lambda} : \mathbf{c}_c(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$ by

$$\hat{\lambda}(\omega)\hat{\Gamma}(\chi) := \hat{\Gamma}(\omega \star \chi)$$

DISCRETE QUANTUM GROUPS

DISCRETE QUANTUM GROUPS

- ▶ We define $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) := \hat{\lambda}(\mathbf{c}_c(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))''$.

DISCRETE QUANTUM GROUPS

- ▶ We define $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) := \hat{\lambda}(\mathbf{c}_c(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))''$.
- ▶ $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \prod_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} B(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$.

- ▶ We define $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) := \hat{\lambda}(\mathbf{c}_c(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))''$.
- ▶ $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \Pi_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} B(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$.
- ▶ Define the comultiplications

$$\hat{\Delta}_l : B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) : x \mapsto W_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}^*(1 \otimes x) W_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$$

$$\hat{\Delta}_r : B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) : x \mapsto V_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}(x \otimes 1) V_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}^*$$

- ▶ We define $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) := \hat{\lambda}(\mathbf{c}_c(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))''$.
- ▶ $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \prod_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} B(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$.
- ▶ Define the comultiplications

$$\hat{\Delta}_l : B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) : x \mapsto W_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}^*(1 \otimes x) W_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$$

$$\hat{\Delta}_r : B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) : x \mapsto V_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}(x \otimes 1) V_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}^*$$

- ▶ These comultiplications agree on $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$: $\hat{\Delta} := \hat{\Delta}_l = \hat{\Delta}_r$.

ACTIONS OF COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

ACTIONS OF COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

\mathbb{G} - \mathcal{C}^* -actions

A right \mathbb{G} - \mathcal{C}^* -operator system is a pair (X, α) where X is an operator system and $\alpha : X \rightarrow X \otimes \mathcal{C}_r(\mathbb{G})$ is a uci map such that:

ACTIONS OF COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

\mathbb{G} - C^* -actions

A right \mathbb{G} - C^* -operator system is a pair (X, α) where X is an operator system and $\alpha : X \rightarrow X \otimes C_r(\mathbb{G})$ is a uci map such that:

- ▶ The coaction property is satisfied: $(\alpha \otimes \text{id})\alpha = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\alpha$.

ACTIONS OF COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

\mathbb{G} - C^* -actions

A right \mathbb{G} - C^* -operator system is a pair (X, α) where X is an operator system and $\alpha : X \rightarrow X \otimes C_r(\mathbb{G})$ is a uci map such that:

- ▶ The coaction property is satisfied: $(\alpha \otimes \text{id})\alpha = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\alpha$.
- ▶ The Podleś density condition is satisfied, i.e.
$$[\alpha(X)(1 \otimes C_r(\mathbb{G}))] = X \otimes C_r(\mathbb{G}).$$

ACTIONS OF COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

\mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -actions

A right \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -operator system is a pair (X, α) where X is an operator system and $\alpha : X \rightarrow X \otimes \mathbf{C}_r(\mathbb{G})$ is a uci map such that:

- ▶ The coaction property is satisfied: $(\alpha \otimes \text{id})\alpha = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\alpha$.
- ▶ The Podleś density condition is satisfied, i.e.

$$[\alpha(X)(1 \otimes \mathbf{C}_r(\mathbb{G}))] = X \otimes \mathbf{C}_r(\mathbb{G}).$$

We will write $X \curvearrowright^\alpha \mathbb{G}$ and say that α defines a right \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -action on the operator system X .

ACTIONS OF COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

\mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -actions

A **right \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -operator system** is a pair (X, α) where X is an operator system and $\alpha : X \rightarrow X \otimes \mathbf{C}_r(\mathbb{G})$ is a uci map such that:

- ▶ The coaction property is satisfied: $(\alpha \otimes \text{id})\alpha = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\alpha$.
- ▶ The Podleś density condition is satisfied, i.e.
$$[\alpha(X)(1 \otimes \mathbf{C}_r(\mathbb{G}))] = X \otimes \mathbf{C}_r(\mathbb{G}).$$

We will write $X \curvearrowright^\alpha \mathbb{G}$ and say that α defines a right \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -action on the operator system X .

- ▶ The usual spectral decomposition works.

ACTIONS OF COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

\mathbb{G} - \mathcal{C}^* -actions

A **right \mathbb{G} - \mathcal{C}^* -operator system** is a pair (X, α) where X is an operator system and $\alpha : X \rightarrow X \otimes \mathcal{C}_r(\mathbb{G})$ is a uci map such that:

- ▶ The coaction property is satisfied: $(\alpha \otimes \text{id})\alpha = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\alpha$.
- ▶ The Podleś density condition is satisfied, i.e.
$$[\alpha(X)(1 \otimes \mathcal{C}_r(\mathbb{G}))] = X \otimes \mathcal{C}_r(\mathbb{G}).$$

We will write $X \curvearrowright^\alpha \mathbb{G}$ and say that α defines a right \mathbb{G} - \mathcal{C}^* -action on the operator system X .

- ▶ The usual spectral decomposition works.
- ▶ If X is a \mathcal{C}^* -algebra, then α is automatically multiplicative!

ACTIONS OF COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

ACTIONS OF COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

\mathbb{G} - W^* -actions

A right \mathbb{G} - W^* -operator system is a pair (X, α) where X is an operator system and $\alpha : X \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ is a uci map such that the coaction property is satisfied, i.e. $(\alpha \overline{\otimes} \text{id})\alpha = (\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta)\alpha$. We will write $X \curvearrowright^\alpha \mathbb{G}$ and say that α defines a right \mathbb{G} - W^* -action on the operator system X .

ACTIONS OF COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

\mathbb{G} - W^* -actions

A right \mathbb{G} - W^* -operator system is a pair (X, α) where X is an operator system and $\alpha : X \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ is a uci map such that the coaction property is satisfied, i.e. $(\alpha \overline{\otimes} \text{id})\alpha = (\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta)\alpha$. We will write $X \curvearrowright^\alpha \mathbb{G}$ and say that α defines a right \mathbb{G} - W^* -action on the operator system X .

Regular elements

If (X, α) is a \mathbb{G} - W^* -operator system, we define the algebraic regular elements and the regular elements by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_{\text{alg}}(X, \alpha) &:= \{x \in X : \alpha(x) \in X \odot \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})\}, \\ \mathcal{R}(X, \alpha) &:= \overline{\mathcal{R}_{\text{alg}}(X, \alpha)}^{\|\cdot\|}.\end{aligned}$$

EQUIVARIANT MAPS

EQUIVARIANT MAPS

\mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* and \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{W}^* -equivariant maps

EQUIVARIANT MAPS

\mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* and \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{W}^* -equivariant maps

- Let (X, α) and (Y, β) two \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -operator systems. A ucp map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is called **\mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -equivariant** if the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & Y \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta \\ X \otimes \mathbf{C}_r(\mathbb{G}) & \xrightarrow{\phi \otimes \text{id}} & Y \otimes \mathbf{C}_r(\mathbb{G}) \end{array}$$

EQUIVARIANT MAPS

\mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* and \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{W}^* -equivariant maps

- Let (X, α) and (Y, β) two \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -operator systems. A ucp map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is called **\mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -equivariant** if the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & Y \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta \\ X \otimes \mathbf{C}_r(\mathbb{G}) & \xrightarrow{\phi \otimes \text{id}} & Y \otimes \mathbf{C}_r(\mathbb{G}) \end{array}$$

- Let (X, α) and (Y, β) two \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{W}^* -operator systems. A ucp map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is called **\mathbb{G} - \mathbf{W}^* -equivariant** if the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & Y \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta \\ X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G}) & \xrightarrow{\phi \overline{\otimes} \text{id}} & Y \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \end{array}$$

G-EQUIVARIANT INJECTIVITY

\mathbb{G} -EQUIVARIANT INJECTIVITY

\mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* and \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{W}^* -injectivity

\mathbb{G} -EQUIVARIANT INJECTIVITY

\mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* and \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{W}^* -injectivity

- ▶ A \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -operator system I is called **\mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -injective** if for all \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -operator systems X, Y , every \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -ucp map $\varphi : X \rightarrow I$ and every \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -uci map $\iota : X \rightarrow Y$, there exists a \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -ucp map $\Phi : Y \rightarrow I$ such that $\Phi\iota = \varphi$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & I \\ \downarrow \iota & \nearrow \Phi & \\ Y & & \end{array}$$

\mathbb{G} -EQUIVARIANT INJECTIVITY

\mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* and \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{W}^* -injectivity

- ▶ A \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -operator system I is called **\mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -injective** if for all \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -operator systems X, Y , every \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -ucp map $\varphi : X \rightarrow I$ and every \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -uci map $\iota : X \rightarrow Y$, there exists a \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -ucp map $\Phi : Y \rightarrow I$ such that $\Phi \iota = \varphi$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & I \\ \downarrow \iota & \nearrow \Phi & \\ Y & & \end{array}$$

- ▶ Similarly, \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{W}^* -injectivity is defined.

G-EQUIVARIANT INJECTIVITY

Elementary properties

Let (X, α) be a \mathbb{G} - W^* -operator system.

Elementary properties

Let (X, α) be a \mathbb{G} - W^* -operator system.

- ▶ If X is injective as an operator system, then $(X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})), \text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \Delta)$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.

Elementary properties

Let (X, α) be a \mathbb{G} - W^* -operator system.

- ▶ If X is injective as an operator system, then $(X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})), \text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \Delta)$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.
- ▶ The following statements are equivalent:

Elementary properties

Let (X, α) be a \mathbb{G} - W^* -operator system.

- ▶ If X is injective as an operator system, then $(X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})), \text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \Delta)$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.
- ▶ The following statements are equivalent:
 1. (X, α) is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.

Elementary properties

Let (X, α) be a \mathbb{G} - W^* -operator system.

- ▶ If X is injective as an operator system, then $(X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})), \text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \Delta)$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.
- ▶ The following statements are equivalent:
 1. (X, α) is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.
 2. X is injective and there exists a \mathbb{G} - W^* ucp conditional expectation $\varphi : (X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})), \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta) \rightarrow (\alpha(X), \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta)$.

ACTIONS OF DISCRETE QUANTUM GROUPS

ACTIONS OF DISCRETE QUANTUM GROUPS

$\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -actions

A (right) $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system is a pair (X, α) where X is an operator system and $\alpha : X \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ is a uci map such that $(\alpha \overline{\otimes} \text{id})\alpha = (\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta})\alpha$. We will write $X \overset{\alpha}{\curvearrowright} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ and say that α defines a right $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -action on the operator system X .

ACTIONS OF DISCRETE QUANTUM GROUPS

$\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -actions

A (right) $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system is a pair (X, α) where X is an operator system and $\alpha : X \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ is a uci map such that $(\alpha \overline{\otimes} \text{id})\alpha = (\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta})\alpha$. We will write $X \overset{\alpha}{\curvearrowright} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ and say that α defines a right $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -action on the operator system X .

- ▶ If X is a \mathcal{C}^* -algebra, then $X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \cong \prod_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} (X \otimes B(\mathcal{H}_\pi))$ carries a natural \mathcal{C}^* -algebra structure. Then α is a \star -homomorphism (result by S. Vaes).

ACTIONS OF DISCRETE QUANTUM GROUPS

$\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -actions

A (right) $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system is a pair (X, α) where X is an operator system and $\alpha : X \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ is a uci map such that $(\alpha \overline{\otimes} \text{id})\alpha = (\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta})\alpha$. We will write $X \curvearrowright^\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ and say that α defines a right $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -action on the operator system X .

- ▶ If X is a \mathcal{C}^* -algebra, then $X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \cong \prod_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} (X \otimes B(\mathcal{H}_\pi))$ carries a natural \mathcal{C}^* -algebra structure. Then α is a \star -homomorphism (result by S. Vaes).
- ▶ Equivalent description in terms of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ -module operator systems.

ACTIONS OF DISCRETE QUANTUM GROUPS

$\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -actions

A (right) $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system is a pair (X, α) where X is an operator system and $\alpha : X \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ is a uci map such that $(\alpha \overline{\otimes} \text{id})\alpha = (\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta})\alpha$. We will write $X \curvearrowright^\alpha \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ and say that α defines a right $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -action on the operator system X .

- ▶ If X is a \mathbf{C}^* -algebra, then $X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \cong \prod_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} (X \otimes B(\mathcal{H}_\pi))$ carries a natural \mathbf{C}^* -algebra structure. Then α is a \star -homomorphism (result by S. Vaes).
- ▶ Equivalent description in terms of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ -module operator systems.
- ▶ Notion of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -equivariant map and $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective operator system defined in the obvious way.

\widehat{G} -EQUIVARIANT INJECTIVITY

$\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -EQUIVARIANT INJECTIVITY

Elementary properties

Let (X, α) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system.

Elementary properties

Let (X, α) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system.

- ▶ If X is injective, then $(X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta})$ is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective.

Elementary properties

Let (X, α) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system.

- ▶ If X is injective, then $(X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta})$ is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective.
- ▶ The following statements are equivalent:

Elementary properties

Let (X, α) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system.

- ▶ If X is injective, then $(X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta})$ is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective.
- ▶ The following statements are equivalent:
 1. (X, α) is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective.

Elementary properties

Let (X, α) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system.

- ▶ If X is injective, then $(X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta})$ is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective.
- ▶ The following statements are equivalent:
 1. (X, α) is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective.
 2. X is injective and there exists a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -equivariant ucp conditional expectation $(X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta}) \rightarrow (\alpha(X), \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta})$.

CROSSED PRODUCTS

Let (X, α) be a \widehat{G} -operator system.

CROSSED PRODUCTS

Let (X, α) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system.

Reduced crossed product

$$X \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} := \overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|} \{ \alpha(x)(1 \otimes \lambda(a)) : x \in X, a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \} \subseteq X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$$

CROSSED PRODUCTS

Let (X, α) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system.

Reduced crossed product

$$X \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} := \overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|} \{ \alpha(x)(1 \otimes \lambda(a)) : x \in X, a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \} \subseteq X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$$

Fubini crossed product

$$X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} := \{ z \in X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) : (\text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta}_l)(z) = (\alpha \overline{\otimes} \text{id})(z) \}$$

CROSSED PRODUCTS

Let (X, α) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system.

Reduced crossed product

$$X \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} := \overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|} \{ \alpha(x)(1 \otimes \lambda(a)) : x \in X, a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \} \subseteq X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$$

Fubini crossed product

$$X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} := \{ z \in X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) : (\text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta}_l)(z) = (\alpha \overline{\otimes} \text{id})(z) \}$$

- In general, $X \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} \subseteq X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.

CROSSED PRODUCTS

Let (X, α) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system.

Reduced crossed product

$$X \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} := \overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|} \{ \alpha(x)(1 \otimes \lambda(a)) : x \in X, a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \} \subseteq X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$$

Fubini crossed product

$$X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} := \{ z \in X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) : (\text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta}_l)(z) = (\alpha \overline{\otimes} \text{id})(z) \}$$

- ▶ In general, $X \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} \subseteq X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.
- ▶ $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is a $C_r(\mathbb{G})$ -bimodule.

CROSSED PRODUCTS

Let (X, α) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system.

Reduced crossed product

$$X \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} := \overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|} \{ \alpha(x)(1 \otimes \lambda(a)) : x \in X, a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \} \subseteq X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$$

Fubini crossed product

$$X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} := \{ z \in X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) : (\text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta}_l)(z) = (\alpha \overline{\otimes} \text{id})(z) \}$$

- ▶ In general, $X \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} \subseteq X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.
- ▶ $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is a $C_r(\mathbb{G})$ -bimodule.
- ▶ Generalise classical crossed products.

CROSSED PRODUCTS

Let (X, α) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system.

Reduced crossed product

$$X \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} := \overline{\text{span}}^{\|\cdot\|} \{ \alpha(x)(1 \otimes \lambda(a)) : x \in X, a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \} \subseteq X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$$

Fubini crossed product

$$X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} := \{ z \in X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) : (\text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta}_l)(z) = (\alpha \overline{\otimes} \text{id})(z) \}$$

- ▶ In general, $X \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} \subseteq X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.
- ▶ $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is a $C_r(\mathbb{G})$ -bimodule.
- ▶ Generalise classical crossed products.
- ▶ If $X \overset{\tau}{\curvearrowright} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ trivially, then $X \rtimes_{\tau, r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} = X \otimes C_r(\mathbb{G})$ and $X \rtimes_{\tau, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} = X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G})$.

INJECTIVITY OF $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$

INJECTIVITY OF $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$

Injectivity of Fubini crossed product

If \mathbb{G} is a CQG and (X, α) a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system, consider:

INJECTIVITY OF $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$

Injectivity of Fubini crossed product

If \mathbb{G} is a CQG and (X, α) a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system, consider:

1. (X, α) is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective.
2. $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is injective.

INJECTIVITY OF $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$

Injectivity of Fubini crossed product

If \mathbb{G} is a CQG and (X, α) a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system, consider:

1. (X, α) is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective.
2. $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is injective.

Then (1) \implies (2) and (2) \implies (1) if \mathbb{G} is of Kac type.

INJECTIVITY OF $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$

Injectivity of Fubini crossed product

If \mathbb{G} is a CQG and (X, α) a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system, consider:

1. (X, α) is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective.
2. $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is injective.

Then (1) \implies (2) and (2) \implies (1) if \mathbb{G} is of Kac type.

- ▶ Taking $X = \mathbb{C}$, we recover the equivalence of the following two statements in the Kac-case:
 1. $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ amenable.
 2. $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ is injective.

INJECTIVITY OF $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$

Injectivity of Fubini crossed product

If \mathbb{G} is a CQG and (X, α) a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system, consider:

1. (X, α) is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective.
2. $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is injective.

Then (1) \implies (2) and (2) \implies (1) if \mathbb{G} is of Kac type.

- ▶ Taking $X = \mathbb{C}$, we recover the equivalence of the following two statements in the Kac-case:
 1. $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ amenable.
 2. $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ is injective.
- ▶ Little hope to generalise this statement outside the Kac case.

Injectivity of Fubini crossed product

If \mathbb{G} is a CQG and (X, α) a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system, consider:

1. (X, α) is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective.
2. $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is injective.

Then (1) \implies (2) and (2) \implies (1) if \mathbb{G} is of Kac type.

- ▶ Taking $X = \mathbb{C}$, we recover the equivalence of the following two statements in the Kac-case:
 1. $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ amenable.
 2. $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ is injective.
- ▶ Little hope to generalise this statement outside the Kac case.
- ▶ We can still repair the statement by asking for equivariant injectivity of the crossed products!

G-ACTION OF REDUCED CROSSED PRODUCT

\mathbb{G} -ACTION OF REDUCED CROSSED PRODUCT

- ▶ Fix a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system (X, α) and consider $X \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.

\mathbb{G} -ACTION OF REDUCED CROSSED PRODUCT

- ▶ Fix a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system (X, α) and consider $X \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.
- ▶ Consider the comultiplication
$$\Delta : B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G}) : x \mapsto V_{\mathbb{G}}(x \otimes 1)V_{\mathbb{G}}^*.$$

\mathbb{G} -ACTION OF REDUCED CROSSED PRODUCT

- ▶ Fix a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system (X, α) and consider $X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.
- ▶ Consider the comultiplication
 $\Delta : B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G}) : x \mapsto V_{\mathbb{G}}(x \otimes 1)V_{\mathbb{G}}^*.$
- ▶ The map

$$\mathrm{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \Delta : X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G})$$

restricts to a map

$$\mathrm{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \Delta : X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} \rightarrow (X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \otimes C_r(\mathbb{G}).$$

\mathbb{G} -ACTION OF REDUCED CROSSED PRODUCT

- ▶ Fix a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system (X, α) and consider $X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.
- ▶ Consider the comultiplication
$$\Delta : B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G}) : x \mapsto V_{\mathbb{G}}(x \otimes 1)V_{\mathbb{G}}^*.$$
- ▶ The map

$$\text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \Delta : X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G})$$

restricts to a map

$$\text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \Delta : X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} \rightarrow (X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \otimes C_r(\mathbb{G}).$$

- ▶ The pair $(X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \Delta)$ is a \mathbb{G} - C^* -operator system.

COTENSOR PRODUCTS

[Modulo technical details]

COTENSOR PRODUCTS

[Modulo technical details]

Cotensor product of operator systems

Suppose that X, Y, Z are operator systems and $\beta_r : X \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} Z$ and $\beta_l : Y \rightarrow Z \overline{\otimes} Y$ ucp maps. We define the **cotensor product**

$$X \boxtimes_{(\beta_l, \beta_r)} Y := \{ \xi \in X \overline{\otimes} Y : (\text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \beta_l)(\xi) = (\beta_r \overline{\otimes} \text{id}_Y)(\xi) \}.$$

COTENSOR PRODUCTS

[Modulo technical details]

Cotensor product of operator systems

Suppose that X, Y, Z are operator systems and $\beta_r : X \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} Z$ and $\beta_l : Y \rightarrow Z \overline{\otimes} Y$ ucp maps. We define the **cotensor product**

$$X \boxtimes_{(\beta_l, \beta_r)} Y := \{\xi \in X \overline{\otimes} Y : (\text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \beta_l)(\xi) = (\beta_r \overline{\otimes} \text{id}_Y)(\xi)\}.$$

Coactions of the cotensor product

If S is an operator system and $\delta : Y \rightarrow Y \overline{\otimes} S$ is a ucp map such that

$$(\text{id}_Z \overline{\otimes} \delta) \circ \beta_l = (\beta_l \overline{\otimes} \text{id}_S) \circ \delta$$

then the map $\text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \delta : X \overline{\otimes} Y \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} Y \overline{\otimes} S$ restricts to a map $X \boxtimes_{(\beta_l, \beta_r)} Y \rightarrow (X \boxtimes_{(\beta_l, \beta_r)} Y) \overline{\otimes} S$.

G-ACTION OF FUBINI CROSSED PRODUCT

\mathbb{G} -ACTION OF FUBINI CROSSED PRODUCT

- ▶ We can apply this to the Fubini crossed product $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} = X \boxtimes_{(\hat{\Delta}_I, \alpha)} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$ with $\delta = \Delta : B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G})$.

\mathbb{G} -ACTION OF FUBINI CROSSED PRODUCT

- ▶ We can apply this to the Fubini crossed product $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} = X \boxtimes_{(\hat{\Delta}_I, \alpha)} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$ with $\delta = \Delta : B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G})$.
- ▶ Indeed, it suffices to check that

$$(\text{id} \bar{\otimes} \Delta) \circ \hat{\Delta}_I = (\hat{\Delta}_I \bar{\otimes} \text{id}) \circ \Delta.$$

\mathbb{G} -ACTION OF FUBINI CROSSED PRODUCT

- ▶ We can apply this to the Fubini crossed product $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} = X \boxtimes_{(\hat{\Delta}_I, \alpha)} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$ with $\delta = \Delta : B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G})$.
- ▶ Indeed, it suffices to check that

$$(\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta) \circ \hat{\Delta}_I = (\hat{\Delta}_I \overline{\otimes} \text{id}) \circ \Delta.$$

- ▶ The map $\text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \Delta$ restricts to a map

$$X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} \rightarrow (X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G}).$$

\mathbb{G} -ACTION OF FUBINI CROSSED PRODUCT

- ▶ We can apply this to the Fubini crossed product $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} = X \boxtimes_{(\hat{\Delta}_I, \alpha)} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$ with $\delta = \Delta : B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G})$.

- ▶ Indeed, it suffices to check that

$$(\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta) \circ \hat{\Delta}_I = (\hat{\Delta}_I \overline{\otimes} \text{id}) \circ \Delta.$$

- ▶ The map $\text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \Delta$ restricts to a map

$$X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}} \rightarrow (X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G}).$$

- ▶ The pair $(X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \Delta)$ is a \mathbb{G} - W^* -operator system.

MAIN RESULT

We can now formulate our main result:

Main result

Let (X, α) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system. The following statements are equivalent:

MAIN RESULT

We can now formulate our main result:

Main result

Let (X, α) be a \widehat{G} -operator system. The following statements are equivalent:

1. (X, α) is \widehat{G} -injective.

MAIN RESULT

We can now formulate our main result:

Main result

Let (X, α) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system. The following statements are equivalent:

1. (X, α) is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective.
2. $(X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \otimes \overline{\Delta})$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.

MAIN RESULT

We can now formulate our main result:

Main result

Let (X, α) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system. The following statements are equivalent:

1. (X, α) is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective.
2. $(X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta)$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.
3. $(X \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta)$ is \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective.

MAIN RESULT

We can now formulate our main result:

Main result

Let (X, α) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system. The following statements are equivalent:

1. (X, α) is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective.
2. $(X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \otimes \Delta)$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.
3. $(X \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \otimes \Delta)$ is \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective.

In particular, considering $X = \mathbb{C}$, we find that the following statements are equivalent:

1. $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is amenable.
2. $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.
3. $C_r(\mathbb{G})$ is \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective.

MAIN RESULT

We can now formulate our main result:

Main result

Let (X, α) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system. The following statements are equivalent:

1. (X, α) is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective.
2. $(X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \otimes \Delta)$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.
3. $(X \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \otimes \Delta)$ is \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective.

In particular, considering $X = \mathbb{C}$, we find that the following statements are equivalent:

1. $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is amenable.
2. $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.
3. $C_r(\mathbb{G})$ is \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective.

We sketch the proof. We need two main ingredients first.

INGREDIENT 1

INGREDIENT 1

Regular elements Fubini crossed product

Let (X, α) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system. Then

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{alg}}(X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \otimes \overline{\Delta}) = \text{span}\{\alpha(x)(1 \otimes a) : x \in X, a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})\}.$$

INGREDIENT 1

Regular elements Fubini crossed product

Let (X, α) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system. Then

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{alg}}(X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \otimes \overline{\Delta}) = \text{span}\{\alpha(x)(1 \otimes a) : x \in X, a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})\}.$$

Proof (Sketch).

INGREDIENT 1

Regular elements Fubini crossed product

Let (X, α) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system. Then

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{alg}}(X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta) = \text{span}\{\alpha(x)(1 \otimes a) : x \in X, a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})\}.$$

Proof (Sketch).

- First note that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{alg}}(X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})), \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta) = (X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))(1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})).$$

INGREDIENT 1

Regular elements Fubini crossed product

Let (X, α) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system. Then

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{alg}}(X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta) = \text{span}\{\alpha(x)(1 \otimes a) : x \in X, a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})\}.$$

Proof (Sketch).

- First note that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{alg}}(X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})), \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta) = (X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))(1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})).$$

- Thus, if $z \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{alg}}(X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, we can write it as

$$z = \sum_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n_\pi} z_{ij}^\pi (1 \otimes u_{ij}^\pi), \quad z_{ij}^\pi \in X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}).$$

INGREDIENT 1

Regular elements Fubini crossed product

Let (X, α) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system. Then

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{alg}}(X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta) = \text{span}\{\alpha(x)(1 \otimes a) : x \in X, a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})\}.$$

Proof (Sketch).

- ▶ First note that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{alg}}(X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})), \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta) = (X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))(1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})).$$

- ▶ Thus, if $z \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{alg}}(X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, we can write it as

$$z = \sum_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n_\pi} z_{ij}^\pi (1 \otimes u_{ij}^\pi), \quad z_{ij}^\pi \in X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}).$$

- ▶ Show that $z_{ij}^\pi \in X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. Conclude that $z_{ij}^\pi \in \alpha(X)$.



INGREDIENT 2

Automatic invariance

Let $X \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ be an operator system and

$$\psi : X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$$

a ucp map such that $\psi(1 \otimes a) = 1 \otimes a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$. Then ψ automatically preserves the right coaction

$$\text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta}_r : X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}).$$

INGREDIENT 2

Automatic invariance

Let $X \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ be an operator system and

$$\psi : X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$$

a ucp map such that $\psi(1 \otimes a) = 1 \otimes a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$. Then ψ automatically preserves the right coaction

$$\text{id}_X \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta}_r : X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}).$$

Proof.

Multiplicative domain argument. □

PROOF MAIN RESULT

PROOF MAIN RESULT

X is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective $\implies X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.

PROOF MAIN RESULT

X is \widehat{G} -injective $\implies X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{G}$ is G - W^* -injective.

- ▶ The assumption means that X is injective and there exists a \widehat{G} -equivariant ucp map $\phi : (X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{G}), \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta}) \rightarrow X$ such that $\phi \circ \alpha = \text{id}$.

PROOF MAIN RESULT

X is \widehat{G} -injective $\implies X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{G}$ is G - W^* -injective.

- ▶ The assumption means that X is injective and there exists a \widehat{G} -equivariant ucp map $\phi : (X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{G}), \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta}) \rightarrow X$ such that $\phi \circ \alpha = \text{id}$.
- ▶ Define $\psi := (\phi \overline{\otimes} \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta}_I) : X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$.

PROOF MAIN RESULT

X is \widehat{G} -injective $\implies X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{G}$ is G - W^* -injective.

- ▶ The assumption means that X is injective and there exists a \widehat{G} -equivariant ucp map $\phi : (X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{G}), \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \widehat{\Delta}) \rightarrow X$ such that $\phi \circ \alpha = \text{id}$.
- ▶ Define $\psi := (\phi \overline{\otimes} \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \widehat{\Delta}_I) : X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$.
- ▶ Show that ψ satisfies the following properties:

PROOF MAIN RESULT

X is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective $\implies X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.

- ▶ The assumption means that X is injective and there exists a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -equivariant ucp map $\phi : (X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta}) \rightarrow X$ such that $\phi \circ \alpha = \text{id}$.
- ▶ Define $\psi := (\phi \overline{\otimes} \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta}_I) : X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$.
- ▶ Show that ψ satisfies the following properties:
 1. The range of ψ is contained in $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.

PROOF MAIN RESULT

X is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective $\implies X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.

- ▶ The assumption means that X is injective and there exists a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -equivariant ucp map $\phi : (X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \widehat{\Delta}) \rightarrow X$ such that $\phi \circ \alpha = \text{id}$.
- ▶ Define $\psi := (\phi \overline{\otimes} \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \widehat{\Delta}_I) : X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$.
- ▶ Show that ψ satisfies the following properties:
 1. The range of ψ is contained in $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.
 2. ψ is the identity on $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.

PROOF MAIN RESULT

X is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective $\implies X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.

- ▶ The assumption means that X is injective and there exists a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -equivariant ucp map $\phi : (X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta}) \rightarrow X$ such that $\phi \circ \alpha = \text{id}$.
- ▶ Define $\psi := (\phi \overline{\otimes} \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta}_I) : X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$.
- ▶ Show that ψ satisfies the following properties:
 1. The range of ψ is contained in $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.
 2. ψ is the identity on $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.
 3. ψ preserves the \mathbb{G} - W^* -action $\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta$.

PROOF MAIN RESULT

X is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective $\implies X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.

- ▶ The assumption means that X is injective and there exists a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -equivariant ucp map $\phi : (X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \widehat{\Delta}) \rightarrow X$ such that $\phi \circ \alpha = \text{id}$.
- ▶ Define $\psi := (\phi \overline{\otimes} \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \widehat{\Delta}_I) : X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$.
- ▶ Show that ψ satisfies the following properties:
 1. The range of ψ is contained in $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.
 2. ψ is the identity on $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.
 3. ψ preserves the \mathbb{G} - W^* -action $\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta$.
- ▶ **Conclusion:** $\psi : X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is a \mathbb{G} - W^* -ucp conditional expectation, thus $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.

PROOF MAIN RESULT

X is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective $\implies X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.

- ▶ The assumption means that X is injective and there exists a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -equivariant ucp map $\phi : (X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \widehat{\Delta}) \rightarrow X$ such that $\phi \circ \alpha = \text{id}$.
- ▶ Define $\psi := (\phi \overline{\otimes} \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \widehat{\Delta}_I) : X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$.
- ▶ Show that ψ satisfies the following properties:
 1. The range of ψ is contained in $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.
 2. ψ is the identity on $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.
 3. ψ preserves the \mathbb{G} - W^* -action $\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta$.
- ▶ **Conclusion:** $\psi : X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is a \mathbb{G} - W^* -ucp conditional expectation, thus $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.

$X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective $\implies X \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective.

PROOF MAIN RESULT

X is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective $\implies X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.

- ▶ The assumption means that X is injective and there exists a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -equivariant ucp map $\phi : (X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \widehat{\Delta}) \rightarrow X$ such that $\phi \circ \alpha = \text{id}$.
- ▶ Define $\psi := (\phi \overline{\otimes} \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \widehat{\Delta}_I) : X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$.
- ▶ Show that ψ satisfies the following properties:
 1. The range of ψ is contained in $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.
 2. ψ is the identity on $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.
 3. ψ preserves the \mathbb{G} - W^* -action $\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta$.
- ▶ **Conclusion:** $\psi : X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is a \mathbb{G} - W^* -ucp conditional expectation, thus $X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective.

$X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is \mathbb{G} - W^* -injective $\implies X \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective.

- ▶ Since $\mathcal{R}(X \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{F}} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = X \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ (Ingredient 1).

PROOF MAIN RESULT

PROOF MAIN RESULT

$X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{G}$ is \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective $\implies X$ is \widehat{G} -injective.

PROOF MAIN RESULT

$X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective $\implies X$ is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective.

- ▶ Embed $X \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$.

PROOF MAIN RESULT

$X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -injective $\implies X$ is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective.

- ▶ Embed $X \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$.
- ▶ Choose a \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -ucp conditional expectation $P : \mathcal{R}(X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})), \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta) \rightarrow (X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta)$.

PROOF MAIN RESULT

$X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective $\implies X$ is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective.

- ▶ Embed $X \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$.
- ▶ Choose a \mathbb{G} - C^* -ucp conditional expectation $P : \mathcal{R}(X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})), \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta) \rightarrow (X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta)$.
- ▶ Use Arveson's extension theorem to choose an ucp extension $\widetilde{P} : B(\mathcal{H}) \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}) \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$.

PROOF MAIN RESULT

$X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective $\implies X$ is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective.

- ▶ Embed $X \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$.
- ▶ Choose a \mathbb{G} - C^* -ucp conditional expectation $P : \mathcal{R}(X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})), \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta) \rightarrow (X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta)$.
- ▶ Use Arveson's extension theorem to choose an ucp extension $\widetilde{P} : B(\mathcal{H}) \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}) \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$.
- ▶ Since \widetilde{P} acts identically on $1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$, it preserves the action $\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta}_r$ (Ingredient 2).

PROOF MAIN RESULT

$X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective $\implies X$ is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective.

- ▶ Embed $X \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$.
- ▶ Choose a \mathbb{G} - C^* -ucp conditional expectation $P : \mathcal{R}(X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})), \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta) \rightarrow (X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta)$.
- ▶ Use Arveson's extension theorem to choose an ucp extension $\widetilde{P} : B(\mathcal{H}) \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}) \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$.
- ▶ Since \widetilde{P} acts identically on $1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$, it preserves the action $\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta}_r$ (Ingredient 2).
- ▶ Since P is \mathbb{G} - C^* -equivariant, it induces a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -equivariant map $Q : \text{Fix}(X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))) = X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow \text{Fix}(X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \alpha(X)$.

PROOF MAIN RESULT

$X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -injective $\implies X$ is $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective.

- ▶ Embed $X \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$.
- ▶ Choose a \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -ucp conditional expectation $P : \mathcal{R}(X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})), \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta) \rightarrow (X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta)$.
- ▶ Use Arveson's extension theorem to choose an ucp extension $\widetilde{P} : B(\mathcal{H}) \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}) \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$.
- ▶ Since \widetilde{P} acts identically on $1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$, it preserves the action $\text{id} \overline{\otimes} \hat{\Delta}_r$ (Ingredient 2).
- ▶ Since P is \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -equivariant, it induces a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -equivariant map $Q : \text{Fix}(X \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G}))) = X \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow \text{Fix}(X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \alpha(X)$.
- ▶ X is injective since there is a \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -conditional expectation $E : (X \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$.



INJECTIVE ENVELOPES

Relevant definitions

Let X be a \mathbb{G} - C^* -operator system.

Relevant definitions

Let X be a $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathbf{C}^*$ -operator system.

- ▶ A pair (Y, ι) is called $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathbf{C}^*$ -extension of X if Y is a $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathbf{C}^*$ -operator system and $\iota : X \rightarrow Y$ is a $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathbf{C}^*$ -equivariant uci map.

Relevant definitions

Let X be a \mathbb{G} - C^* -operator system.

- ▶ A pair (Y, ι) is called \mathbb{G} - C^* -extension of X if Y is a \mathbb{G} - C^* -operator system and $\iota : X \rightarrow Y$ is a \mathbb{G} - C^* -equivariant uci map.
- ▶ The \mathbb{G} - C^* -extension (Y, ι) is called \mathbb{G} - C^* -rigid if it has the property that if $\phi : Y \rightarrow Y$ is a ucp map with $\phi\iota = \iota$, then $\phi = \text{id}_Y$.

Relevant definitions

Let X be a $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -operator system.

- ▶ A pair (Y, ι) is called $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -extension of X if Y is a $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -operator system and $\iota : X \rightarrow Y$ is a $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -equivariant uci map.
- ▶ The $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -extension (Y, ι) is called $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -rigid if it has the property that if $\phi : Y \rightarrow Y$ is a ucp map with $\phi\iota = \iota$, then $\phi = \text{id}_Y$.
- ▶ It is called $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -injective extension of X if Y is $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -injective.

Relevant definitions

Let X be a $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -operator system.

- ▶ A pair (Y, ι) is called $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -extension of X if Y is a $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -operator system and $\iota : X \rightarrow Y$ is a $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -equivariant uci map.
- ▶ The $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -extension (Y, ι) is called $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -rigid if it has the property that if $\phi : Y \rightarrow Y$ is a ucp map with $\phi\iota = \iota$, then $\phi = \text{id}_Y$.
- ▶ It is called $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -injective extension of X if Y is $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -injective.
- ▶ A $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -injective extension of X is called $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -injective envelope if the situation $\iota(X) \subseteq \tilde{X} \subseteq Y$ with \tilde{X} a $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -injective operator subsystem of Y implies that $\tilde{X} = Y$.

Relevant definitions

Let X be a $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -operator system.

- ▶ A pair (Y, ι) is called $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -extension of X if Y is a $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -operator system and $\iota : X \rightarrow Y$ is a $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -equivariant uci map.
- ▶ The $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -extension (Y, ι) is called $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -rigid if it has the property that if $\phi : Y \rightarrow Y$ is a ucp map with $\phi\iota = \iota$, then $\phi = \text{id}_Y$.
- ▶ It is called $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -injective extension of X if Y is $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -injective.
- ▶ A $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -injective extension of X is called $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -injective envelope if the situation $\iota(X) \subseteq \tilde{X} \subseteq Y$ with \tilde{X} a $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*$ -injective operator subsystem of Y implies that $\tilde{X} = Y$.

Similar definitions are made for $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator systems.

EQUIVARIANT INJECTIVE ENVELOPES

EQUIVARIANT INJECTIVE ENVELOPES

Existence and uniqueness

Let X be a \mathbb{G} - C^* -operator system. There exists a \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective envelope (S, ι) for X . If $(\tilde{S}, \tilde{\iota})$ is another \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective envelope, there exists a unique \mathbb{G} - C^* -unital order isomorphism $\theta : S \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ such that $\theta \circ \iota = \tilde{\iota}$. Moreover, $(S, \iota : X \rightarrow S)$ is a \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective envelope if and only if (S, ι) is \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective and \mathbb{G} - C^* -rigid.

EQUIVARIANT INJECTIVE ENVELOPES

Existence and uniqueness

Let X be a \mathbb{G} - C^* -operator system. There exists a \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective envelope (S, ι) for X . If $(\tilde{S}, \tilde{\iota})$ is another \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective envelope, there exists a unique \mathbb{G} - C^* -unital order isomorphism $\theta : S \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ such that $\theta \circ \iota = \tilde{\iota}$. Moreover, $(S, \iota : X \rightarrow S)$ is a \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective envelope if and only if (S, ι) is \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective and \mathbb{G} - C^* -rigid.

- Notation: $I_{\mathbb{G}}^{C^*}(X)$ is the \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective envelope of X .

Existence and uniqueness

Let X be a \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -operator system. There exists a \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -injective envelope (S, ι) for X . If $(\tilde{S}, \tilde{\iota})$ is another \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -injective envelope, there exists a unique \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -unital order isomorphism $\theta : S \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ such that $\theta \circ \iota = \tilde{\iota}$. Moreover, $(S, \iota : X \rightarrow S)$ is a \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -injective envelope if and only if (S, ι) is \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -injective and \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -rigid.

- ▶ Notation: $I_{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathbf{C}^*}(X)$ is the \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -injective envelope of X .
- ▶ A similar true is for $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator systems.

Existence and uniqueness

Let X be a \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -operator system. There exists a \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -injective envelope (S, ι) for X . If $(\tilde{S}, \tilde{\iota})$ is another \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -injective envelope, there exists a unique \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -unital order isomorphism $\theta : S \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ such that $\theta \circ \iota = \tilde{\iota}$. Moreover, $(S, \iota : X \rightarrow S)$ is a \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -injective envelope if and only if (S, ι) is \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -injective and \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -rigid.

- ▶ Notation: $I_{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathbf{C}^*}(X)$ is the \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -injective envelope of X .
- ▶ A similar true is for $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator systems.
- ▶ Notation: $I_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}(X)$ is the $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective envelope of X .

EQUIVARIANT INJECTIVE ENVELOPES

Proof.

EQUIVARIANT INJECTIVE ENVELOPES

Proof.

- ▶ That $\mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*\text{-injective} + \mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*\text{-rigid} \implies \mathbb{G}\text{-}\mathcal{C}^*\text{-injective}$ envelope is immediately checked.

EQUIVARIANT INJECTIVE ENVELOPES

Proof.

- ▶ That \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -injective + \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -rigid \implies \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -injective envelope is immediately checked.
- ▶ Embed $X \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ and consider $Y := \mathcal{R}(B(\mathcal{H}) \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})))$, which is a \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -injective operator system.

EQUIVARIANT INJECTIVE ENVELOPES

Proof.

- ▶ That \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -injective + \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -rigid \implies \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -injective envelope is immediately checked.
- ▶ Embed $X \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ and consider $Y := \mathcal{R}(B(\mathcal{H}) \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})))$, which is a \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -injective operator system.
- ▶ Consider the set \mathcal{G} of \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -ucp maps $\phi : Y \rightarrow Y$ that satisfy $\phi \circ \alpha = \alpha$.

EQUIVARIANT INJECTIVE ENVELOPES

Proof.

- ▶ That \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective + \mathbb{G} - C^* -rigid \implies \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective envelope is immediately checked.
- ▶ Embed $X \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ and consider $Y := \mathcal{R}(B(\mathcal{H}) \overline{\otimes} B(L^2(\mathbb{G})))$, which is a \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective operator system.
- ▶ Consider the set \mathcal{G} of \mathbb{G} - C^* -ucp maps $\phi : Y \rightarrow Y$ that satisfy $\phi \circ \alpha = \alpha$.
- ▶ Choose a minimal idempotent $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{G}$ and check that $\phi_0(Y)$ is a \mathbb{G} - C^* -injective envelope.



DUALITY OF EQUIVARIANT INJECTIVE ENVELOPES

DUALITY OF EQUIVARIANT INJECTIVE ENVELOPES

\mathbb{G} - C^* -Rigidity of reduced crossed products

Let $(X, \alpha), (Y, \beta)$ be $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator systems and $\iota : (X, \alpha) \rightarrow (Y, \beta)$ be an equivariant uci map. The following statements are equivalent:

DUALITY OF EQUIVARIANT INJECTIVE ENVELOPES

\mathbb{G} - C^* -Rigidity of reduced crossed products

Let $(X, \alpha), (Y, \beta)$ be $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator systems and $\iota : (X, \alpha) \rightarrow (Y, \beta)$ be an equivariant uci map. The following statements are equivalent:

1. $(Y, \iota : (X, \alpha) \rightarrow (Y, \beta))$ is a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -rigid extension of X .

DUALITY OF EQUIVARIANT INJECTIVE ENVELOPES

\mathbb{G} - C^* -Rigidity of reduced crossed products

Let $(X, \alpha), (Y, \beta)$ be $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator systems and $\iota : (X, \alpha) \rightarrow (Y, \beta)$ be an equivariant uci map. The following statements are equivalent:

1. $(Y, \iota : (X, \alpha) \rightarrow (Y, \beta))$ is a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -rigid extension of X .
2. $(Y \rtimes_{r, \beta} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \iota \rtimes_r \widehat{\mathbb{G}} : (X \rtimes_{r, \alpha} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta) \rightarrow (Y \rtimes_{r, \beta} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta))$ is a \mathbb{G} - C^* -rigid extension of $X \rtimes_{r, \alpha} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.

DUALITY OF EQUIVARIANT INJECTIVE ENVELOPES

\mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -Rigidity of reduced crossed products

Let $(X, \alpha), (Y, \beta)$ be $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator systems and $\iota : (X, \alpha) \rightarrow (Y, \beta)$ be an equivariant uci map. The following statements are equivalent:

1. $(Y, \iota : (X, \alpha) \rightarrow (Y, \beta))$ is a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -rigid extension of X .
2. $(Y \rtimes_{r, \beta} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \iota \rtimes_r \widehat{\mathbb{G}} : (X \rtimes_{r, \alpha} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta) \rightarrow (Y \rtimes_{r, \beta} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \text{id} \overline{\otimes} \Delta))$ is a \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -rigid extension of $X \rtimes_{r, \alpha} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.

Equivariant injective envelopes and crossed products

Let X be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -operator system. Let (Y, ι) be a $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -extension of X . Then (Y, ι) is the $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ -injective envelope of X if and only if $(Y \rtimes_r \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \iota \rtimes_r \widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ is the \mathbb{G} - \mathbf{C}^* -injective envelope of $X \rtimes_r \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. In particular,

$$I_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}(X) \rtimes_r \widehat{\mathbb{G}} = I_{\mathbb{G}}^{\mathbf{C}^*}(X \rtimes_r \widehat{\mathbb{G}}).$$

RELEVANT LITERATURE



Joeri De Ro and Lucas Hataishi

“Actions of compact and discrete quantum groups on operator systems”



Erik Habbestad, Lucas Hataishi, and Sergey Neshveyev

“Noncommutative Poisson boundaries and Furstenberg-Hamana boundaries of Drinfeld doubles”



Masamichi Hamana

“Tensor products for monotone complete C^* -algebras. I, II”



Mehrdad Kalantar, Paweł Kasprzak, Adam Skalski and Roland Vergnioux

“Noncommutative Furstenberg boundary”